1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * * *	
9	JAMES CONNELLY,)
10	Plaintiff,) 3:05-cv-00431 LRH (RAM)
11	VS.))
12	TERRY GILMARTIN,) ORDER)
13	Defendant.))
14)
15	The Clerk of the Court has brought to the court's attention that after this action was	
16	dismissed on July 14, 2006, with Judgment thereafter entered in favor of Defendant (Doc. #58) on	
17	July 17, 2006, a Notice of Intent to File Appeal by Plaintiff (Doc. #61) was filed by Plaintiff on	
18	October 2, 2006. Thereafter, a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Petition for Appeal (Doc. #62) was	
19	filed by Defendant on October 19, 2006. An answer/response to Defendant's motion to dismiss	
20	(Doc. #63) was filed by Plaintiff on November 8, 2006.	
21	In reviewing the above history, this action was dismissed and judgment was entered in favor	
22	of Defendant on July 17, 2006. Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), an appeal from the	
23	judgment awarded in favor of Defendant would have had to have been filed within thirty (30) days	
24	of the entry of judgment. Plaintiff's Notice of Intent to File Appeal (Doc. #61) was filed on	

October 2, 2006, well beyond the thirty (30) day period for filing of an appeal.

This action was dismissed and judgment was entered in favor of Defendant on July 17,

25

26

1	2006. Although Plaintiff could have appealed the judgment in favor of Defendant over the thirty
2	(30) day period following the dismissal of this action, that did not occur. That being the case, all
3	actions subsequent to that time are moot for the reason that the July 17, 2006, judgment in favor of
4	Defendant is a final judgment.
5	All notices and motions filed after that date are, therefore, dismissed as moot.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	DATED this 11 th day of June, 2007.
8	Elstihe
9	James
10	LARRY R. HICKS
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
2223	
23	
25	
۷3	